

Message

---

**From:** Glenn, William [Glenn.William@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 5/1/2019 2:25:57 PM  
**To:** Sanchez, Yolanda [Sanchez.Yolanda@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Clancy, Maeve [Clancy.Maeve@epa.gov]; Fairbanks, Brianna [Fairbanks.Brianna@epa.gov]; Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]; Yogi, David [Yogi.David@epa.gov]; Herrera, Angeles [Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov]; PerezSullivan, Margot [PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** Fwd: Hunters Point query

Hi Yolanda,

See Inside EPA's request below... is there a link to the latest letter that we can share with the reporter?

FYI, she included our response to her previous inquiry in the string below. Thanks again for your help with that, and this.

Bill

---

Bill Glenn  
Acting Director  
Office of Public Affairs  
U.S. EPA, Pacific Southwest  
glenn.william@epa.gov / (415) 947-4254

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Suzanne Yohannan <suzanne@iwpnews.com>  
**Date:** May 1, 2019 at 6:13:43 AM PDT  
**To:** "Glenn, William" <Glenn.William@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Fw: Hunters Point query

Glenn,

I originally sent this request (see below) to Soledad, but her automatic email said to forward to you as she is out. I'm interested in getting a copy of an EPA Region 9 letter sent last week to the Navy on the Hunters Point cleanup. Could I get that from you?

I'm working under a deadline of tomorrow afternoon (5/2)

Thanks.

Suzanne Yohannan  
Inside EPA  
703-562-8759  
suzanne@iwpnews.com

---

**From:** Suzanne Yohannan  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 30, 2019 4:54 PM

**To:** Calvino, Maria Soledad  
**Subject:** RE: Hunters Point query

Soledad,  
I heard that EPA last week sent out an additional response to the Navy on these matters. Any chance I could get a copy of it from you?

Thanks.

Suzanne Yohannan  
Inside EPA  
703-562-8759  
suzanne@iwppnews.com

---

**From:** Calvino, Maria Soledad <Calvino.Maria@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 17, 2019 4:35 PM  
**To:** Suzanne Yohannan <suzanne@iwppnews.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Hunters Point query

Hi Suzanne,  
Please see our responses below and documents attached.

Thank you,  
Soledad

**Could you tell me if the Navy and EPA have corresponded in the last 3 months on the issues over cleanup and the reexamination of the site given the falsified data that was used there? Are there any current disagreements between EPA and the Navy over these? If there is correspondence, could I obtain copies of it?**

- A. Yes – EPA has been coordinating with the Navy. On December 28, 2018, EPA sent the Navy two letters with comments on its *Building Radiation Survey Data Initial Evaluation Report* and the *Draft Radiological Data Evaluation Findings Report for Parcel C Soil*. Those letters can be found at: <https://semspub.epa.gov/work/09/100016258.pdf> and <https://semspub.epa.gov/work/09/100016259.pdf>.

On December 13, 2018, EPA sent the Navy additional comments on its *Parcel G Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan* (Work Plan). This letter is attached and will soon be posted on EPA's Hunters Point Naval Shipyard website. This *Work Plan* is a reexamination of the areas in Parcel G where Tetra Tech EC Inc. conducted previous radiological work. The Navy will test and clean up, if necessary, soil and existing buildings in Parcel G.

On March 27, 2019, EPA responded to a letter from the Navy dated March 15, 2019, about the process for addressing the site's *Five-Year Review* and the *Work Plan*. On April 11, 2019, EPA sent the Navy a letter that outlined a proposed path forward for these two documents. Specifically, it outlines a path forward to finalize the Parcel G *Work Plan* in phases to strategically move forward with the field rework in a manner that is transparent to the public and allows public comment. The above letters are attached and will soon be posted to the EPA's Hunters Point Naval Shipyard website. Please contact Bill Franklin (619-524-5433 or [william.d.franklin@navy.mil](mailto:william.d.franklin@navy.mil)) with the Navy for its letter.

**In the fall, your predecessor, Nahal Mogharabi, told me in an email response to questions that the Navy was in the process of evaluating existing radiological cleanup standards using the current EPA PRG Calculator. Could you tell me if the Navy has gotten back to you on this evaluation?**

- A. The Navy is doing its *Five-Year Review* of Hunters Point Naval Shipyard. As required by the Superfund law, this important process will assess whether current remedies and remedial goals documented in the Records of Decision (RODs) continue to protect human health and the environment. EPA's Preliminary Remedial Goal (PRG) Calculator is a tool to assess the protectiveness of current remedies that is consistent with long-established Superfund regulations and guidance.

On September 21, 2018, EPA sent the Navy comments on its July 9, 2018, draft *Five-Year Review* report, which did not include draft PRG Calculator assessments. That letter can be found here: <https://semspub.epa.gov/work/09/100010568.pdf>. Since then, we have had deliberative discussions with the Navy about its draft PRG Calculator assessments. EPA's March 27, 2019, response letter to the Navy also addressed this issue.

We recommend that you contact the Navy regarding its letter and for further questions about its PRG Calculator assessments.

**Soledad Calvino**  
Press Officer | Office of Public Affairs  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 9  
[calvino.maria@epa.gov](mailto:calvino.maria@epa.gov)  
Office 415.972.3512 | Mobile 415.697.6289

---

**From:** Glenn, William  
**Sent:** Friday, April 12, 2019 11:06 AM  
**To:** Suzanne Yohannan <[suzanne@iwpnews.com](mailto:suzanne@iwpnews.com)>  
**Cc:** Calvino, Maria Soledad <[Calvino.Maria@epa.gov](mailto:Calvino.Maria@epa.gov)>; PerezSullivan, Margot <[PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov](mailto:PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Hunters Point query

Hi Suzanne,

Thanks for the note. We'll look into this, and Soledad Calvino of our press office will follow up with you next week.

Have a great weekend,

Bill

---

Bill Glenn  
Acting Director  
Office of Public Affairs  
U.S. EPA, Pacific Southwest  
[glenn.william@epa.gov](mailto:glenn.william@epa.gov) / (415) 947-4254

---

**From:** Suzanne Yohannan <[suzanne@iwpnews.com](mailto:suzanne@iwpnews.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 12, 2019 10:29 AM

**To:** Glenn, William <[Glenn.William@epa.gov](mailto:Glenn.William@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** Hunters Point query

Mr. Glenn,

I'm a reporter for the news outlet Inside EPA. I was wondering if I could get an update from you on the Hunters Point cleanup and review/re-look at falsified data issues. Could you tell me if the Navy and EPA have corresponded in the last 3 months on the issues over cleanup and the reexamination of the site given the falsified data that was used there? Are there any current disagreements between EPA and the Navy over these? If there is correspondence, could I obtain copies of it?

In the fall, your predecessor, Nahal Mogharabi, told me in an email response to questions that the Navy was in the process of evaluating existing radiological cleanup standards using the current EPA PRG Calculator. Could you tell me if the Navy has gotten back to you on this evaluation?

I'm working under a deadline of next week, late Wednesday (April 17) afternoon. I can be reached at the email or number below.

Thanks very much.

Suzanne Yohannan  
Inside EPA  
703-562-8759  
[suzanne@iwpnews.com](mailto:suzanne@iwpnews.com)